

Theory of the Duality of Subjective Experience [In the Modern Era]. – A.P.

I am in tears to write of the following; a thought has occurred to me which frees those suffering, (inc. myself) from illness of the mind. I am entirely unknown to this field, but for many a series of notes.

- I.) Hearing remains of a volume of which is one degree higher than the world.
- II.) The result of the mind, is the condition of the mind, which is thoughts.
- a.) We hear in response to an inhibitory relation.
- b.) This inhibitory relationship is amended in part by chemical and electrical processes.
- c.) These must be regulated effectively to operate normally, within ranges, and capably.
- *) Mathematics results in functions modeling sound of which are flexible in three dimensions of any pattern discerned from a pattern, if and only if there is an effectively linear relationship between their coefficients, and of such patterns, these are linear in relation to the elliptic functional field.

Thus, for preceding, in relationship to the aggrandizational relationship of sound to accessory in one, the amended basis cannot serve the means of the occasioned listener without the amended terms of comobidity, on behalf of isolation, for that of amended basis does not fashion of auditory impulse.

As a result, the mathematical dimension is a $\log(4)$ comparative a $\log(3/2)$, for that of the basis of it's dimensional spread, and of such a given, the remainder of that of a 'round circuit' higher, to which in leaving of departure one, the sound is aperceived sooner, but then the if and only if of a valid relationship.

'Unto relationships, I am not of courage, nor sufficiency, as of this time, to account of:

- 1.) The devastation this has brought into my life.
- 2.) The serverity of the relationship to amends on behalf of those with such illness.'

Thus; I have amended a manner of treating the illness, with* appropriate medical understanding, and the required means of amends of technology.

The relationship to my equipment, is:

- a.1.) It is in service to the illness if and only if means are sequestered to a 1-2-3-4 on behalf of a series of events, and the relationship of the group associates is of:
 - 1.) A difference in occasion.
 - 2.) A difference of amends.
 - 3.) Located in a distinct timezone.
 - 4.) Subject to reception of the self.

Thus, the occasion is provably circumstantial, and, additionally, is only sufficient to be of the nature of awareness.

- g.*) Thus, secondly, it is a liability to associates of social contract, questionable, and of awareness.